

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,162	12/15/2003	Ji Yong Park	0091.1031	2087
49455 7590 99/30/2009 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			KIM, JAY C	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/734,162
 PARK ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JAY C. KIM
 2815

JAY C. KIM All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JAY C. KIM. (3) Attorney Douglas X. Rodriguez (Reg. No. 47, 269). (4)____. (2) Jerome Jackson. Date of Interview: 24 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1.6.7 and 12. Identification of prior art discussed: Oka et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments (3/16/09) of claims 1 and 7, which appear to overcome prior art rejection under 102(b) as being anticipated by Oka et al. Also discussed proposed amendments of claims 6 and 12 to incorporate a distance between neighboring primary grain boundaries. Amended claims, when offically submitted, will be fully considered. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jerome Jackson Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)